

Application Serial No. 10/631,877
Reply to Office Action of March 28, 2006

PATENT
Docket: CU-3620

REMARKS

In the Office Action, dated March 28, 2006, the Examiner makes a restriction requirement 35 U.S.C. §121 between the process Claims 1-4 and the apparatus Claims 5-10. The Applicant hereby elects the apparatus claims. The original apparatus Claims 5-10 have been cancelled, and new apparatus Claims 11-23 have been introduced.

In the Office Action, the Examiner indicates that the priority information is inconsistent. The Applicant herewith submits a new declaration as required.

In light of the foregoing response, all the outstanding objections and rejections are considered overcome. Applicant respectfully submits that this application should now be in condition for allowance and respectfully requests favorable consideration.

Respectfully submitted,

April 28, 2006

Date

Attorney for Applicant
Brian W. Hameder
c/o Ladas & Parry LLP
224 South Michigan Avenue
Chicago, Illinois 60604
(312) 427-1300
Reg. No. 45613

Page 5 of 5